

Trends & issues

in crime and criminal justice



Australian Government
Australian Institute of Criminology

No. 444 December 2013

Foreword | *In 2006, the Australian Government introduced the Anti-money Laundering and Counter-Terrorism Financing Act 2006 (Cth) which increased regulatory controls over businesses potentially able to facilitate organised criminal activities such as money laundering. The implementation of tougher legislation and associated law enforcement interventions may result in criminal organisations adjusting their tactics in order to continue their activities without detection. In this paper, the risk and potential impact of tactical displacement by organised criminals is discussed with regard to the potential for increased attempts by organised crime groups to corrupt public servants. There is a paucity of research exploring the nature and extent of public sector corruption committed by organised crime groups. This discussion is informed by literature on 'crime scripts' originally developed by Cornish (1994) and the 5I's crime prevention framework developed by Ekblom (2011). Making use of public-source information about the commission of such crimes, as exemplified in two recent corruption cases, some intervention strategies are proposed that may be effective in reducing the risks of corruption of public sector officials by organised crime groups in Australia.*

Adam Tomison
Director

Organised crime and public sector corruption: A crime scripts analysis of tactical displacement risks

Elizabeth Rowe, Tabor Akman,
Russell G Smith and Adam M Tomison

Organised crime in Australia has received increased attention over the last decade, with the enactment of legislation and the development of other interventions that have sought to control this serious criminal phenomenon. Although the success of such interventions in reducing organised crime is yet to be subject to detailed evaluation, prior research has identified certain risks associated with policy responses that could, arguably, also lead to counterproductive consequences (Guerette & Bowers 2009; Smith, Wolanin & Worthington 2003). One consequence of enhanced legislation and/or law enforcement approaches developed to combat organised crime is so-called 'tactical crime displacement', namely that criminals may modify their tactics in order to circumvent the effects of new legislation or increased law enforcement activity, thus allowing them to continue to offend with a reduced risk of detection or criminal justice action taking place. One particular risk of tactical crime displacement is the potential for organised crime groups to focus more on forming corrupt relationships with public officials in order to obtain information that minimises the risk of detection and prosecution.

This paper illustrates how organised criminal groups can alter their patterns of offending by inducing public officials into corruptly disclosing information relevant to the facilitation of further criminal activity. This process of corruption is explained using the notion of 'crime scripts', as developed by Cornish (1994), and applied in the context of organised crime. Following an analysis of the crime scripts used by organised criminals in relation to the corruption of public servants in selected cases in Australia, various situational crime prevention solutions based on Ekblom's (2011) 5Is approach to crime prevention are explored as potential ways in which to minimise risks of this nature.

International context

The United Nations Convention Against Transnational Organized Crime (UNTOC) is the primary international instrument dedicated to combating organised crime. For present purposes, organised crime will be defined in accordance with article 2 of UNTOC (UN 2004a), which is also the definition adopted by Australian law enforcement and government agencies following the Convention's ratification in 2004, namely:

- a structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time, acting in concert with the aim of committing serious criminal offences in order to obtain financial or material benefit [where];
- a serious crime is defined as an offence punishable by at least four years' imprisonment; and
- a structured group is one that is not randomly formed, but has some existing internal structure and exists for some period of time before and after the commission of an offence.

The United Nations Convention Against Corruption (UNCAC) (UN 2004b) outlines the actions that amount to corruption from an international perspective. These include, but are not limited to, bribery of national public officials, abuse of functions and power, using office for personal gain and any actions that violate the interests of the public (UN 2004b).

Although organised crime and corruption are dealt with in separate UN Conventions, they are not completely independent criminal phenomena. Prior research has found a strong association between public sector corruption and organised crime groups (Buscaglia & van Dijk 2004). For example, groups involved in human trafficking and people smuggling frequently seek to corrupt border and customs officials to facilitate cross-border trafficking operations (Holmes 2007). In addition, both theoretical and applied research has found that corruption is a key tool in the arsenal of organised crime groups (Sukharensko 2004; Vander Beken 2004).

Organised crime and corruption in Australia

Organised crime

The threat posed by organised crime in Australia is considered so great that legislation has been passed that aims to proscribe organised criminal activities and to regulate industries that could facilitate criminal behaviour (see below). Outlaw motorcycle gangs (OMCGs), motorcycle clubs or groups whose members use their clubs as conduits for criminal enterprises (Lozusic 2002), are the most recognised form of organised criminal enterprise in Australia (Holmes 2007). Alongside the

highly visible OMCGs, there are also ethnic-based crime groups, family-based crime groups and groups formed on the basis of place of origin such as prisons (CCC WA 2005). The primary organised criminal activity in which these groups are involved is illicit drug trafficking, however, criminal syndicates also engage in money laundering and financial crime to further expand and to legitimise their criminal organisations (ACC 2011).

Criminal organisations in Australia are networks of criminals varying in sophistication, which have highly flexible structures and modes of operation (ACC 2011). These organisations have the ability to infiltrate a wide range of industries and markets, while adapting to changing opportunities, economies and technologies, all in order to increase their profits (ACC 2011). For this reason, these networks contribute to a large proportion of serious crime in Australia (ACC 2011).

Organised crime in Australia can have a negative effect on the economy by affecting legitimate businesses' access to resources, reducing tax revenue and increasing costs to the criminal justice system (ACC 2011). The estimated cost of organised crime in Australia is between \$10b and \$15b a year, due predominately to the loss of legitimate business and taxation revenue (ACC 2011). In addition to the economic cost of

organised crime, there are also long-term harmful effects on the health and welfare systems (ACC 2011).

Corruption

The corruption of public officials in Australia is often highly publicised as it violates the notion of 'public service' through the officials' abuse of power and position. However, little research has been carried out in Australia regarding the link—if any—between organised crime and corrupt public officials. Yet corruption has been identified in both the wider public sector and the criminal justice system in particular (ACC 2011).

In recent history, there have been some highly publicised instances of official corruption with links to organised crime groups (see Boxes 1 & 2). However, compared with the international community, Australia has experienced a relatively small amount of organised crime in this sphere and there is little public-source evidence to suggest that there is any large-scale infiltration of the public sector by criminal organisations (Gilligan & Bowman 2007). Despite this, there is, arguably, an ongoing risk of corruption of public servants by criminal organisations. One reason for this lies in the impediments that have been created to the commission of organised crime through the use of more intensive legislation and the development of coordinated law enforcement activities.

Box 1 Corrupt release of police intelligence reports

On 5 November 2009, law enforcement investigators made the first of several releases of controlled intelligence reports onto the NSW Police computer system in order to determine if a staff member was corruptly passing on intelligence to criminal contacts. The operation also included the covert surveillance of a Police General Support Intelligence Analyst. Covert surveillance and IT audit logs captured the Police Analyst accessing the controlled intelligence report via privileges on the police computer system. He was observed reading the report on his screen and then printing the report before reading it again. He then made a telephone call while viewing the report.

An hour and 45 minutes later, the Analyst made a mobile telephone call to his cousin, a person known to associate with an OMCG. During the course of this conversation, the Police Analyst made arrangements to meet his cousin that evening. Before leaving for the meeting surveillance observed him removing pages—containing the narrative—of the report and placing them in his briefcase.

On 10 November, police became aware that a person believed to be heavily involved in illegal drug distribution, was aware of information specific to an intelligence report released on 5 November. Examination of telephone records showed the drug dealer, his brother and the Police Analyst's cousin had had contact.

Between 17 November and 15 December, officers of the Professional Standards Command released another two controlled intelligence reports, one regarding an illegal poker night involving members of the OMCG, to which the Police Analyst had also been invited. Once again, covert surveillance observed him accessing and printing the intelligence report before removing a section of the report and leaving the office. Later that day, he met his cousin and was observed having a lengthy discussion. The exchange of an item was also observed.

On 16 December, search warrants were executed at the premises of the Police Analyst and his cousin. A number of exhibits were seized including an internal police computer system profile of a Person of Interest from the cousin's premises. Subsequent analysis of IT audit logs found that the Analyst had accessed and printed the same report as that found in his cousin's premises. Fingerprint analysis of the seized report found both the Analyst's and his cousin's fingerprints on the report.

On 3 December 2010, the Police Analyst was found guilty on three counts of unlawfully disclosing and releasing private and confidential information held by the police and was subsequently sentenced to a term of 14 months imprisonment, with a non-parole period of seven months (NSW Police Force 2009).

Box 2 Corrupt facilitation of drug trafficking

Between 2006 and 2008, the former Assistant Director Investigations at the NSW Crime Commission became involved with a drug trafficking organisation and the importation of 300kg of pseudoephedrine. At the time of his arrest, he had been with the NSW Crime Commission for 12 years ([2011] NSW Supreme Court 1422, 8 December 2011).

The criminal enterprise was suspected to have begun when the Crime Commission investigator became friends with a known international drug trafficker with connections to money laundering operations. Following his arrest for related offences, the drug trafficker acted as an informant to the Crime Commission with the former Assistant Director Investigations being one of his handlers ([2011] NSW Supreme Court 1422, 8 December 2011).

The former Assistant Director Investigations began his criminal activities when he entered into an agreement with his informant and a legitimate businessman to import a large quantity of pseudoephedrine concealed in a container of rice, which was being sent to the food importing and distribution business run by the business associate. The informant's connections with Dutch organised crime syndicates were used to access the illegal substances ([2011] NSW Supreme Court 1422, 8 December 2011).

The former Assistant Director Investigations' motivations for becoming involved in the criminal organisation were clearly financial gain, to clear himself of his debts and to provide for his family. It is believed that these debts may have been a result of a mortgage and his wife's psychological and health problems (alcoholism). There was also an indication of his excessive spending in which he bought his mistress expensive gifts and took lavish holidays. However, the money received from the criminal enterprise was far beyond the amount needed to satisfy these expenses ([2011] NSW Supreme Court 1422, 8 December 2011).

Throughout the investigation into his conduct, he was recorded using his professional knowledge and experience to advise the businessman on the steps to take to lessen the risk of the criminal organisation being detected. Specifically, he advised on what law enforcement procedures would be used in relation to the shipment. Using his personal contacts made through his position, he made enquiries about the status of a shipment of rice and whether it had come to the attention of Customs, reporting his findings to the businessman. The investigation also uncovered several email communications between the informant and the accused illustrating his key role in the trafficking of the substances ([2011] NSW Supreme Court 1422, 8 December 2011).

The former Assistant Director Investigations had corruptly advised his conspirators and had used the resources available to him to further facilitate the criminal enterprise. He was charged with three offences in 2008:

- 1) conspiring to import;
- 2) knowingly taking part in the supply of a large commercial quantity of prohibited drugs; and
- 3) conspiring to pervert the course of justice.

In December 2011, he was found guilty of all three charges and sentenced to 22 years imprisonment with a non-parole period of 16 years ([2011] NSW Supreme Court 1422, 8 December 2011).

If these measures make crime too difficult to commit using conventional approaches, it is arguable that organised criminals may look to alternate means, such as the corruption of public servants as an effective way of gathering the information and resources needed to continue their patterns of offending unabated. Public servants are important gatekeepers of information critical to the commission of serious crime, such as personal identity information, as well as strategic police intelligence on how organised crime is being investigated and monitored. Obtaining this information from insiders is an efficient means of planning a major criminal enterprise.

Australian legislation and interventions

Anti-money laundering legislation in Australia was developed as a response to two Royal Commissions in the 1980s, which exposed links between money laundering and organised crime—particularly major tax evasion and fraud. *The Financial Transactions Reports Act 1988* (Cth) (FTR Act) was the first piece of legislation in

Australia that focused on preventing and detecting money laundering. The FTR Act required various financial entities to report suspicious transactions, transactions over a certain financial level and transactions going overseas. In 2006, the *Anti-money Laundering and Counter Terrorism Financing Act 2006* (Cth) (AML/CTF Act) replaced the FTR Act, extending regulatory controls to alternative remittance providers, due to the concern that these systems could be abused to facilitate money laundering, organised crime and the financing of terrorism.

In addition to these preventive measures, stolen asset recovery mechanisms also exist in Australia. The Commonwealth confiscation of assets regime is contained in the *Proceeds of Crime Act 2002* (Cth) (POCA 2002), which allows law enforcement to pursue the recovery of assets linked to an offence after a conviction. POCA 2002 also allows civil recovery of assets, such that assets suspected of criminal origins are able to be seized without the necessity of a criminal conviction. POCA 2002 is designed to prevent the laundering of illegal profits made by organised crime groups, which

will further prevent engagement in criminal activities by these groups. Each state and territory in Australia also has comparable versions of organised crime-related legislation.

Displacement risks

Crime control initiatives, such as those outlined above, can cause crime displacement by triggering changes in the location, time, target, offence tactic or offender (Guerette & Bowers 2009). Crime displacement can be:

- temporal—(ie offenders alter the time at which they commit the offence);
- spatial—(ie offenders change the location of their offending);
- target—(ie offenders shift from one target to another);
- tactical—(ie offenders use different methods to carry out their crimes);
- offence—(ie offenders switch from one crime type to another); and
- offender—(ie new offenders replace existing offenders) (Guerette & Bowers 2009).

If legislation is effective in preventing organised criminal activities, crime displacement may occur. There have been few evaluations or other research conducted into displacement effects of organised crime prevention initiatives, with Levi and Maguire (2004) acknowledging that displacement as a result of organised crime prevention initiatives would be difficult to measure, despite evidence suggesting that displacement is occurring. This difficulty arises from the absence of a universal definition of organised crime and the nature of such criminal organisations, which are 'underground' or covert by nature and therefore hidden (Levi & Maguire 2004). Further, while displacement research typically examines street crimes, such as burglary and motor vehicle crime, organised crime by its nature is complex, taking place across different locations and times, and involving multiple offenders. Thus, spatial, temporal, offence and offender displacement may not be a major consequence of attempts to prevent organised crime because these factors are changing continuously, subject to the illegal and legal markets and the profit-driven nature of criminal organisations.

However, there is some evidence for tactical displacement as a consequence of e-crime prevention techniques (Smith, Wolanin & Worthington 2003). It has been suggested that hardening electronic targets would motivate offenders to seek alternative means of performing their criminal activities by gaining access to the information they seek through internal agency sources, using methods such as corruption and infiltration. This is of relevance to the current discussion as cybercrime and the use of technology is a developing and increasingly used technique within criminal organisations (ACC 2011; and see further discussion below).

The emerging risk of ICT and corruption

The use of information and communications technologies (ICT) in connection with corruption has been identified as an ongoing threat to the public sector in two broad ways (Smith & Jorna 2011). First, ICT may be the target or object of the offending; and second, ICT may be used as a tool for offending (Choo, Smith and McCusker 2007). Of particular interest is the ability of organised crime groups to use ICT to assist in the corruption of individuals in the public sector. Research into the area of ICT and organised crime shows that a significant number of external breaches of data can be attributed to organised crime syndicates (Verizon & United States Secret Service 2011). According to the *2011 Verizon Data Breach Investigations Report*, external agents accounted for 92 percent of data breaches and 58 percent of these were attributed to organised criminal groups.

Organised crime groups can use ICT as a tool to access personal information of employees in the public sector, enhancing their ability to coerce individuals into behaving corruptly (eg identifying financial hardships, important contacts that might be exploited, or other personal and family vulnerabilities). In addition to ICT being used as a tool to access personal information illegally, the widespread presence of social networking sites (SNS) has enabled people to publish a significant amount of personal information electronically. Sensitive information can be found on SNS, such as nicknames and other information that can assist criminals to undertake more refined research on targets. Once a person's name, job title

and profession are discovered, address and phone numbers can be found from online directories. This information, when combined with information collected illegally and a number of other open sources of information, allows comprehensive dossiers to be developed (Hart 2010).

Further, the information available to organised crime groups is not only limited to sources available online. ICT-facilitated methods can be used in conjunction with more traditional methods, such as sifting through rubbish, which has the potential to generate vast amounts of personal information and that may be enough to coerce or to induce an individual into offending. An Australian example of a public official being corrupted by organised criminals is provided in Box 2, with the role that ICT played in the acts of corruption explored in Table 1. In light of this discussion on tactical displacement and e-crime, it seems reasonable to contend that the adoption of ICT as a means of corrupting public officials may increase and be associated with the introduction and enhancements of legislation and regulatory controls implemented in Australia to prevent and deter traditional organised crime activities.

Situational crime prevention and crime scripts

Understanding how organised crime groups may attempt to coerce public servants into disclosing information is a key element in its prevention. The benefit of taking a situational approach is the ability to focus on the goals and modus operandi of organised crime groups, rather than attempting to understand individuals and their criminality (Cornish & Clarke 2002). Reducing opportunities for crime is a complementary strategy to targeting offenders and may be more efficient overall. Once goals and methods are known, the next step is to develop techniques to prevent, constrain or disrupt the criminal activity. These need to be specifically tailored to the criminal acts and therefore require a detailed understanding of the whole process through which the crime is commissioned and then takes place (Cornish 1994). Research of this kind has already been undertaken in relation to the resale of stolen vehicles (Tremblay, Talon & Hurley 2001) and cheque forgery (Lacoste & Tremblay 2003).

To obtain an understanding of the crime commission process, Cornish (1994) argued that crimes can be examined through the use of crime scripts. Scripts are a sequence of 'script functions' and accompanying 'script actions' that organise our knowledge and understanding of routine behavioural processes (Cornish 1994: 161). For example, eating at a restaurant involves more than just eating; it requires deciding which restaurant to eat at, entering the restaurant, choosing a table, ordering, waiting, eating, paying the bill and leaving (Cornish & Clarke 2002). Similarly, the commission of a crime or the coercion or inducement of a third party to commit a crime can be broken down into a number of routine processes. These include—preconditions, initiation, actualisation, doing and post-conditions, and these script functions have corresponding script actions (Cornish 1994). By developing an understanding of how organised crime groups may try to coerce or induce public servants, a script analysis can then be used to identify the script functions and corresponding actions. In Table 1, a script analysis is provided of the corruption of the Police Investigator's activities described in Box 2. The information gathered through this process can then be put to use in preventing such activities.

Ekblom's 5Is framework

Once crime scripts have been developed, it is possible to address crime risks through the development of situational crime prevention initiatives (although crime scripts analysis may also inform conventional offender-focused investigations as well as the disruption of offending). Such an approach should also form part of the general processes of risk identification and management outlined in organisational principles of risk management that government agencies and business now adopt (Standards Australia 2009).

The crime prevention process should not, however, be over-simplified or there may be a risk of overlooking key strategies or implementing ineffective and costly initiatives. A systematic and evidence-based approach is required. One such approach is the 5Is framework developed by Paul Ekblom (2011). This approach aims to provide crime prevention practitioners

Table 1 Crime scripts analysis in the corruption of the former Assistant Director Investigations at the NSW Crime Commission by a drug trafficker

Script function (Cornish 1994:161)	Script action	Case study offender's actions
Preparation (establishing network of contacts and background information)	Acquire required IT equipment Find possible human information sources who could be corrupted; develop means of influence over these potential sources	Access to computers and internet Forming friendship with the police investigator Financial records, medical records The police investigator's phone and laptop—emails, text messages Online searches—directories, media sites, search engines SNS of the police investigator, his wife, family members and friends Physical access to the police investigator
Pre-conditions (necessary preparatory acts)	Obtain possible target names	Public sector employees Informant's handlers
Instrumental conditions (agreeing on initial acts to commence activity)	Select target	The police investigator—on the basis of the established relationship with the target and desirability of his senior position The police investigator also had financial difficulties and family troubles creating motivations for corruption
Instrumental initiation (essential preliminary research needed to execute activity)	Research background of target for information for coercion or inducement	Online searches to access home address, family members names Media reporting on the Police Investigator SNS of police investigator, family members and friends Informant can use his relationship and proximity to the police investigator to access personal information on phone, laptop and other ICT
Instrumental actualisation (first steps taken to commence criminal activity)	Contact target	The police investigator was the handler of the informant after an arrest. Police investigator had interaction with the corruptor on a personal level therefore had the ability to contact him easily
Doing (undertaking principal criminal acts)	Use obtained information for coercion or inducement of target	Propose to the police investigator to import pseudoephedrine to assist with his financial troubles Provide the police investigator with information that could initiate corrupt behaviour
Post-condition (procedures following commission of crime)	Access information/induce behaviour/ utilise corrupt official	The police investigator used his connections and knowledge to assist in the importation of illegal substances by providing information on how to avoid detection and investigation
Exit (finalisation of criminal activity)	Finalisation of corrupt activity	Ensuring that corrupt conduct was not discovered

with an evidence-based framework and methodology for implementing initiatives across a range of situational and offender-oriented crime prevention methods.

The 5Is approach is framed around a sequence of tasks and steps, namely Intelligence, Intervention, Implementation, Involvement and Impact:

- **Intelligence** is the process of collecting and analysing information. It should identify and develop understanding of the modus operandi of offenders and other relevant aspects of the crime. It should also generate understanding to support the other four elements of the 5Is approach.

- **Intervention** is about blocking, disrupting or diminishing the causes of the criminal event. For example, this may include removing the ability of organised crime to identify valuable public servant targets.
- **Implementation** is the conversion of intervention principles and methods into practice. This may require the input of resources to develop new practices or the development of new policies and procedures.
- **Involvement** requires the mobilisation of, and where appropriate, establishing partnerships with other agencies, departments or individuals. This should be led by the intelligence gathered at the first stage.
- **Impact** is the evaluation of the ultimate outcome, the intermediate outcomes and the process (Eckblom 2011).

The specific methodologies used at each of the five stages are dependent upon the resources available, the organisation and the risk posed. The 5Is approach is a detailed systematic approach to crime prevention, which is explained more fully at <http://5isframework.wordpress.com>. Levi and Maguire (2004) have also applied the approach specifically to the commission of organised crime.

The *Intelligence* stage, carried out in part through the crime scripts analysis described above, provides a greater understanding of the sequences of choices, decisions and actions of organised criminals. Additional intelligence should also be gathered alongside the completion of a script analysis, including an attempt to identify the factors within the environment and the criminal organisation itself that promote or may prevent criminal activity (Eckblom 2011). This stage sets the foundations and informs the subsequent four stages and ultimately informs the effective implementation of a strategy to prevent organised crime. With a successful script analysis completed, key points for intervention can be identified. For present purposes, only the first three stages of the 5Is framework will be examined as these stages are the most relevant to preventing organised crime activities that entail corruption. Involvement is less relevant to this specific case because the opportunities that facilitate corruption within the police are largely (though not exclusively) within the

Table 2 Application of the 5Is framework to the crime scripts analysis of the corruption of the former Assistant Director Investigations at the NSW Crime Commission

Script action/intelligence	Intervention	Implementation
Acquire required IT equipment	Controlling dissemination of ICT	Registering names of buyers Screening online transactions of high-risk individuals
Find possible information sources	Preventing outside access of personal details for public officials Limit the ability of informants and officials to form close friendships Limit the amount of employee information released to the public	Firewalls—computer and internet security on all personal devices Passwords Monitoring of handlers when contacting high-risk informants Reduce amount of specific information released to the media
Obtain possible target names	Limited release of names of Investigations personnel	Withholding personal information and names from Internet site
Select target	Increase difficulty in accessing/making contact with public officials Decrease the desirability of using public officials to facilitate criminal activities	Monitoring of handlers when contacting high-risk informants Monitoring excessive lifestyle expenditure of officers
Research background of target for information for coercion or inducement	Reduce possible information sources on public officials Limit the ability of informants and officials forming close friendships	Limit use of SNS by public officials Limit dissemination of personal information on Web2 platforms (eg photos and names on SNS) Monitoring of handlers when contacting high-risk informants
Contact target	Preventing contact through surveillance Improve security within internal structures of the public sector regarding ICT	Education of public officials to detect and recognise corrupt behaviour Anonymous hotlines for employees Workplace PC surveillance
Use obtained information for coercion or inducement of target	Increase awareness of disincentives for colluding and rule setting Limit the ability of informants and officials having inappropriate contact	Enhanced codes of conduct Workplace PC surveillance Monitoring of handlers when contacting high-risk informants
Access information/induce behaviour/utilise corrupt official	Rule setting Disincentives for corrupt behaviour	Codes for conduct Workplace personal computer surveillance Penalties for corrupt behaviour eg financial, professional penalties

control of the police themselves; that is, there are relatively few other external parties to involve. The prevention of corruption in other organisations (eg local government) will require greater attention to Involvement activity.

An application of the first three stages in relation to organised crime and ICT as a tool for corruption is presented in Table 2. This analysis is, however, limited owing to the absence of currently available information on the motivations and tactics employed by organised crime groups in connection with the corruption of public officials. The interventions described in Table 2 are aimed primarily at decreasing the desirability of using ICT as a means of corruption. This would potentially be achieved through increasing the risks of detection associated with using ICT as a coercion method—one

of the basic situational crime prevention elements identified by Clarke (1995). For example, implementing firewalls in computer and data systems and introducing surveillance systems in public officials' offices and workspaces would be appropriate. Privacy implications of introducing ongoing surveillance of staff, however, need to be balanced with the level of risk of corruption, the impact of corruption on agency operations and the perceived crime prevention benefits that might occur against broader agency and human resources considerations. In the case described in Box 2 above, surveillance of communications was clearly considered to be appropriate in the circumstances.

SNS have also been highlighted as a potential information source for criminal organisations. The introduction of codes

of conduct for using SNS and penalties for not adhering to these guidelines may be one strategy worthy of further consideration.

Conclusion

Currently, few studies have adequately investigated the relationship between organised crime groups and attempted corruption in the public sector. Using information from some of the few publicly available cases in Australia, it is contended that first, enhanced legislative and law enforcement responses to organised crime may lead to a tactical displacement effect, such that organised criminals may increasingly attempt to gain 'insider knowledge' through the corruption of public officials to reduce the risk of apprehension as they commit crimes. ICT is identified as a likely mechanism that may be embraced by organised criminals as a means to gather information on suitable targets.

The use of crime scripts analysis for understanding and preventing public sector corruption by organised crime groups has much to offer as a means of reducing serious and organised crime. By exploring the extent and nature of crime displacement effects resulting from recent policy initiatives, and then analysing the crime scripts that arise in connection with the displaced criminal activity, it is possible to identify a number of opportunities for early intervention to ensure that risks of corruption are minimised. The effectiveness of using crime scripts analysis and Ekblom's 5Is in combination has been demonstrated and should be developed and investigated further.

Although this approach has illuminated the specific process of corruption employed by criminal organisations, further evidence is required to delineate the relationship between organised crime groups and corruption in the public sector more fully. With a sound evidence base and a better understanding of the crime scripts employed (such as could be obtained through interviewing convicted offenders), situational crime prevention frameworks, such as Ekblom's 5Is framework, could then be applied to assist in understanding the crime commission process and to reveal suitable points for effective intervention and the prevention of attempts to corrupt public officials.

General editor, *Trends & issues in crime and criminal justice* series:
Dr Adam M Tomison, Director,
Australian Institute of Criminology

Note: *Trends & issues in crime and criminal justice* papers are peer reviewed

For a complete list and the full text of the papers in the *Trends & issues in crime and criminal justice* series, visit the AIC website at: <http://www.aic.gov.au>

ISSN 0817-8542 (Print)
1836-2206 (Online)

© Australian Institute of Criminology 2013
GPO Box 2944
Canberra ACT 2601, Australia
Tel: 02 6260 9200
Fax: 02 6260 9299

Disclaimer: This research paper does not necessarily reflect the policy position of the Australian Government

References

URLs are current at August 2012

- Australian Crime Commission (ACC) 2011. *Organised crime in Australia, 2011*. Canberra: ACC. <http://www.crimecommission.gov.au/sites/default/files/files/OCA/2011/oca2011.pdf>
- Buscaglia E & van Dijk J 2003. Controlling organized crime and corruption in the public sector. *Forum on Crime and Society* 3(1&2): 3–34
- Choo K-KR, Smith RG & McCusker R 2007. Future directions in technology-enabled crime: 2007–09. *Research and Public Policy Series* no. 78. Canberra: Australian Institute of Criminology. <http://www.aic.gov.au/publications/current%20series/rpp/61-80/rpp78.aspx>
- Clarke RV 1995. Situational crime prevention, in Tonry M & Farrington DP (eds), *Building a safer society: Strategic approaches to crime prevention*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press: 91–150
- Cornish DB 1994. The procedural analysis of offending and its relevance for situational prevention, in Clarke RV (ed), *Crime prevention studies*, Vol. 3. Monsey, NY: Criminal Justice Press: 151–196
- Cornish DB & Clarke R 2002. Analyzing organized crimes, in Piquero A & Tibbetts S (eds), *Rational choice and criminal behaviour*. London: Routledge: 41–63
- Corruption and Crime Commission of Western Australia (CCC WA) 2005. *Report to the joint standing committee on the Corruption and Crime Commission with regard to the Commission's organised crime function and contempt powers*. Perth: CCC WA. <http://www.ccc.wa.gov.au/Publications/Reports/Documents/Published%20Reports/2005/organised-crime.pdf>
- Ekblom P 2011. *Crime prevention, security and community safety using the 5Is framework*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan
- Gilligan G & Bowman D 2007. Countering corruption: An Australian perspective, in Holmes L (ed), *Terrorism, organised crime and corruption*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing: 170–191
- Guerette RT & Bowers KJ 2009. Assessing the extent of crime displacement and diffusion of benefits: A review of situational crime prevention evaluations. *Criminology* 47(4): 1331–1368
- Hart J 2010. Criminal infiltration of financial institutions: A penetration study. *Journal of Money Laundering Control* 13(1): 55–65
- Holmes L 2007. Introduction, in Holmes L (ed), *Terrorism, organised crime and corruption*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing: 1–28
- Lacoste J & Tremblay P 2003. Crime and innovation: A script analysis of patterns in check forgery, in Smith M & Cornish D (eds), *Theory for practice in situational crime prevention*. Crime Prevention Studies 16. Monsey, NY: Criminal Justice Press
- Levi M & Maguire M 2004. Reducing and preventing organised crime: An evidence-based critique, *Crime, Law and Social Change* 41(5): 397–469
- Lozusic R 2002. *Gangs in NSW*. Briefing paper no. 16/02. Sydney: NSW Parliamentary Library Research Service
- New South Wales Police Force 2009. *Annual report 2008–09*. Sydney: NSW Police. http://www.police.nsw.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0020/165170/NSWPF_Annual_Report_2008-09.pdf
- Sukharensko A 2004. The use of corruption by 'Russian' organized crime in the United States. *Trends in Organized Crime* 8(2): 118–129
- Smith RG & Jorna P 2011. Corrupt misuse of information and communications technologies, in Graycar A & Smith RG (eds), *Handbook of global research and practice in corruption*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing Ltd: 255–281
- Smith RG, Wolanin N & Worthington G 2003. E-crime solutions and crime displacement. *Trends & Issues in Criminal Justice* no. 243. Canberra: Australian Institute of Criminology. <http://www.aic.gov.au/publications/current%20series/tandi/241-260/tandi243.aspx>
- Standards Australia 2009. Risk management—Principles and guidelines, AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009. Sydney: Standards Australia
- Tremblay P, Talon B & Hurley D 2001. Body switching and related adaptations in the resale of stolen vehicles: Script elaborations and aggregate crime learning curves. *British Journal of Criminology* 41: 561–579
- United Nations (UN) 2004a. *United Nations Convention Against Transitional Organized Crime and the protocols thereto*. New York: United Nations. <http://www.unodc.org/documents/treaties/UNTOC/Publications/TOC%20Convention/TOCebook-e.pdf>
- United Nations (UN) 2004b. *United Nations Convention Against Corruption*. United Nations: New York. http://www.unodc.org/documents/treaties/UNCAC/Publications/Convention/08-50026_E.pdf
- Vander Beken T 2004. Risky business: A risk-based methodology to measure organized crime. *Crime, Law & Social Change* 41(5): 471–516
- Verizon and United States Secret Service 2011. *2011 Data breach investigations report*. http://www.verizonbusiness.com/resources/reports/rp_data-breach-investigations-report-2011_en_xg.pdf

About the Authors

Elizabeth Rowe is a graduate of Queensland University of Technology and wrote this paper during an Internship at the AIC.

Tabor Akman is a Manager in the Fraud Control Section of the Audit Branch at AusAID.

Dr Russell G Smith is Principal Criminologist at the AIC.

Dr Adam M Tomison is Director and CEO at the AIC and an Adjunct Professor at the Australian Catholic University